

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 2479 of 2005

Between:

Tajuddin, S/o. Khaja Hussain,
Kalwakurthy Depot, R/o. Kalwakurthy, Mahaboobnagar District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Labour Court-II, Hyderabad, rep. by its Presiding Officer.
- 2 The APSRTC, rep. by its Depot Manager, Siddipet.
- 3 The APSRTC, rep. by its Depot Manager,

Kalwakurthy, Mahaboobnagar District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Issue a writ of Certiorari by calling for the records related to and in connection with the Award of the Labour Court in I.D.No.23/1995, which was published in the gazettee vide G.O.Rt. No. 2219 dt. 24.7.1997 and to set aside the same in so far it went against to the petitioner in not granting the full wages with all benefits for the out of employment period as illegal, unjust, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice, contrary to the well established principles of law and consequently direct the respondents to pay full back wages for the out of employment period with all other consequential benefits and to pass such other order or orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.M.SUBHAN

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.P.VINAYAKA SWAMY

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

ORDER:

Questioning the award dated 03-02-1995 in I.D.No.23 of 1995 which was published

in the gazettee vide G.O.Rt.No.2219, dated.24-07-1997, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. After a lapse of eight years, the writ petition is filed challenging the Award. No explanation has been given, at all, for the abnormal delay of eight years.

3. In the absence of any explanation, muchless, convincing explanation, the writ petition is devoid of merits and, therefore, it deserves to be dismissed on the ground of laches.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

K.C.BHANU, J

Date: 15-02-2005

Prv

To

1 The Presiding Officer,

Labour Court-II, Hyderabad,

2 The Depot Manager,

APSRTC, Siddipet.

3 The Depot Manager,

APSRTC, Kalwakurthy,

Mahaboobnagar District.

4 2 CD copies